

From: NSW Government webforms@customerservice.nsw.gov.au 
Subject: NSW Police Response F/2026/10870 (MINS-522608304-28565) — Failure to Address Evidence of False Ministerial Briefing
Date: 23 February 2026 at 16:21
To: als1969@me.com



Thank you for contacting Minister Catley via nsw.gov.au.

A copy of your message is below. If you attached files to your message, they have been forwarded to the Minister but are not included in this email.

Your correspondence will be addressed as soon as possible. Please refer to our [correspondence policy](#) for more information.

Any further correspondence should be submitted through [Minister Catley's webform](#).

Title	Mr
First name	Tony
Last name	Smith
Phone	0493998501
Email	als1969@me.com
Street address	1a Roma st
Suburb	Redcliffe
State	QLD
Postcode	4020
Subject	NSW Police Response F/2026/10870 (MINS-522608304-28565) — Failure to Address Evidence of False Ministerial Briefing
Type of enquiry	Meeting request
Message	The Hon Yasmin Catley MP

message

THE HON. KASHMIR CATLEY MLC
Minister for Police and Counter-terrorism
Minister for the Hunter
Via: Mr Alex Greenwich MP, Member for Sydney

RE: NSW Police Response F/2026/10870
(MINS-522608304-28565) — Failure to Address
Evidence of False Ministerial Briefing
Original Reference: F/2025/55291 (MINS-
522608304-22693) | Prior Correspondence: 23
January 2026

Dear Minister Catley,

I write regarding the NSW Police Force response dated 19 February 2026 (F/2026/10870), which was issued on your behalf in reply to my correspondence of 23 January 2026.

My 23 January letter was titled “Evidence of False Information Provided to Executive Government.” It contained specific, referenced evidence — drawn from the NSW Police Force’s own GIPA releases — documenting three materially false statements made to your office. The police response of 19 February does not address a single piece of that evidence. It repeats, word for word, the same claims I had already disproven.

This is not a response to my correspondence. It is a repetition of the briefing I was challenging.

1. The Police Response Confirms the Pattern I Documented

My 23 January letter documented that Kings Cross PAC framed my complaint to your office as being solely about identity theft, while ignoring the underlying allegations of police misconduct. The police response of 19 February does precisely this again — it characterises the entire

matter as an identity theft allegation with “insufficient evidence,” making no reference whatsoever to:

- The GIPA-released directive from Inspector Winch explicitly declining to investigate (20 September 2024): “The complaint does not amount to misconduct and will be declined to be investigated.”
- The Commander El-Badawi communication ban (D/2025/201535, 21 February 2025), confirmed “off the books” by GIPA finding “Not Held.”
- The Cybertrace forensic report (Ref: 2025-4663) provided to police on 10 June 2025, which is evidenced by GIPA Application GIPAA-2025-0925592 returning “nil evidence” despite the report having been physically handed to officers.
- The Queensland Health RTI release (JIAU25/13749) proving NSW Police officer “Brendan” made a false interstate welfare referral containing fabricated information, triggered by my ministerial complaints.
- The admission in GIPA Internal Review REV-2025-0858525 that the Officer in Charge deleted evidence upon finalisation of the local court matter.

The response from police to your office is not a rebuttal of my documented evidence. It is a silence that speaks for itself.

2. The Identity Misdirection Is the Problem, Not the Subject

The police response focuses entirely on identity theft as though that is the sum of my complaint. I draw your attention to the following matters that the response does not mention at all:

An individual I have identified to police — and who is known to Kings Cross PAC — has been

operating a Telegram account
(@The_real_Tony_smith, ID: 7515693902)
advertising drug sales under my name. I have
filed Crime Stoppers reports on this matter on at
least five occasions (Refs: 996961, 999823,
1002693, 1012217, 1015185) spanning years,
with no resulting action.

Inspector Winch directed me in writing on 8
December 2024: "I would ask that unless you
have a new matter to report to Police, you do not
contact NSW Police regarding the matter before
the Court and your ex partner Issac Rushton."

I was therefore placed in the following position:
ordered not to report ongoing criminal activity by
the very person whose use of my identity I had
been reporting for years, while that same
criminal activity continued unaddressed. I
formally place this on the ministerial record. If
charges arise from criminal activity conducted
under my name that I was prohibited from
reporting, this correspondence establishes that I
raised it repeatedly and was instructed to stop.

3. The Advice to Contact Kinas Cross PAC